

**From the 1<sup>st</sup> February 2020, legislation changes resulted in the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner being responsible for certain reviews following a complaint that has been dealt with by the Professional Standards Department of Northumbria Police (further information can be found at [www.northumbria-pcc.gov.uk](http://www.northumbria-pcc.gov.uk)).**

In the spirit of openness and transparency, the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Northumbria will publish review outcomes.

Relevant Appeal Body (RAB) - *Office of Police and Crime Commissioner Reviews*:

Outcomes – October to December 2023.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Overview of review request</b>	<b>Verdict.</b>
UV	The final response addressed the issues raised in the original complaint and provided rationale to each allegation.	Not upheld.
VW	The outcome letter addressed the two specific points raised. A number of points raised in the review could not be considered as they were not raised in the original complaint,	Not upheld.
WX	The outcome letter is reasonable and proportionate and addresses the relevant points	Not upheld
XY	A full response with rationale was provided by Northumbria Police.	Not upheld.
YZ	Further clarification was needed, responses need more work to ensure they are reasonable and proportionate.	Upheld.
ZA	The response from Northumbria Police was very comprehensive and addressed fully the concerns raised.	Not upheld.

<i>AB</i>	Northumbria Police addressed all the points in the outcome letter, all of which is reasonable and proportionate.	Not upheld
<i>BC</i>	Further emails were sent and it appears the points in those emails were not considered.	Upheld
<i>CD</i>	Full response was sent which addressed the allegations that were raised.	Not upheld,
<i>DE</i>	A concise response was sent, which addressed the question that was raised by the complainant.	Not upheld.
<i>EF</i>	The outcome letter fully addressed the points raised, rationale was provided.	Not upheld
<i>FG</i>	Body worn video footage allowed the reviewing officer to assess what happened, actions were in line with what was stated in the outcome letter.	Not upheld.
<i>GH</i>	Body worn video footage allowed the reviewing officer to assess what happened, actions were in line with what was stated in the outcome letter.	Not upheld.
<i>HI</i>	The outcome letter addressed the allegations, however, in light of review clarification has been requested on a number of points.	Not upheld – clarification sought.
<i>IJ</i>	The outcome letter was sound, with rationale provided for each allegation.	Not upheld.
<i>JK</i>	The outcome letter provided a full response to the issues raised, with sound rationale.	Not upheld.
<i>KL</i>	The outcome letter clearly explained why the case could not be progressed	Not upheld.

