

Freedom of Information
Quarterly Disclosure Log

1 April 2021 to 30 June 2021



Ref No	Request	Response																
018/21	<p>How many "political advisers" were appointed by your force area's PCC over the stated period?</p> <p>Please can you tell me the name of the serving PCC at the time who hired such advisers, the combined yearly salaries of said political advisers (£), their full names and dates of appointment (in the format- DD/MM/YYYY).</p> <p>If the PCC had a deputy PCC below them, how many "political advisers" were appointed by the deputy PCC(s) over the stated period, and how many of them were party political office holders or active party members (please state) at the time of appointment? Also, could you please tell me the name of the political party (for example, but not limited to: the Labour Party, the Conservative Party) or party political office said adviser(s) belonged to.</p>	<p>No information held. There have been no such appointments.</p> <p>As our response to point 1 above is "no information held, there have been no such appointments" then this point is not applicable.</p> <p>No information held. There have been no such appointments.</p>																
020/21	<p><i>For the following years, what was the PCC's total commissioned fund specifically to BAME VAWG victim's services. Please list the recipient organisations as well as the total amount.</i></p> <p>a) 2016-17 b) 2017-28 c) 2018-29 d) 2019-20</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1088 1080 1274 1155">Financial Yr</th> <th data-bbox="1274 1080 1865 1155">Organisation</th> <th data-bbox="1865 1080 2018 1155">Funding Amount</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="1088 1155 1274 1394" rowspan="6">2016-17</td> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="1274 1155 2018 1192"><i>VAWG Victim Services</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1274 1192 1865 1228">The Angelou Centre</td> <td data-bbox="1865 1192 2018 1228">£79,136</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1274 1228 1865 1265">Apna Ghar</td> <td data-bbox="1865 1228 2018 1265">£10,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="1274 1265 2018 1310"><i>Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1274 1310 1865 1347">North East Law Centre</td> <td data-bbox="1865 1310 2018 1347">£42,850</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="1274 1347 1865 1394">TOTAL</td> <td data-bbox="1865 1347 2018 1394">£131,986</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Financial Yr	Organisation	Funding Amount	2016-17	<i>VAWG Victim Services</i>		The Angelou Centre	£79,136	Apna Ghar	£10,000	<i>Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services</i>		North East Law Centre	£42,850	TOTAL	£131,986
Financial Yr	Organisation	Funding Amount																
2016-17	<i>VAWG Victim Services</i>																	
	The Angelou Centre	£79,136																
	Apna Ghar	£10,000																
	<i>Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services</i>																	
	North East Law Centre	£42,850																
	TOTAL	£131,986																

Using the definitions above, the following table provides a breakdown of commissioned domestic and sexual abuse victim services for BAME women and girls from 2016-2020:

2017-18	<i>VAWG Victim Services</i>	
	The Angelou Centre	£76,390
	<i>Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services</i>	
	North East Law Centre	£43,350
	TOTAL	£119,740
2018-19	<i>VAWG Victim Services</i>	
	The Angelou Centre	£73,108
	<i>Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services</i>	
	North East Law Centre	£40,350
	TOTAL	£113,458
2019-20	<i>VAWG Victim Services</i>	
	The Angelou Centre	£79,198
	<i>Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services</i>	
	North East Law Centre	£45,800
	TOTAL	£124,998

The same question is repeated for 2020-21 but here please break the figure down to distinguish what funding was extraordinary additional Covid-19 funding.

Using the definitions above, the following table provides a breakdown of commissioned domestic and sexual abuse victim services for BAME women and girls in 2020-21

Organisation	Funding Stream	Funding Amount
VAWG Victim Services		
The Angelou Centre	Supporting Victims Fund 2020-21 (Ministry of Justice Victim Services Grant)	£82,000
The Angelou Centre	Ministry of Justice Covid-19 Extraordinary Fund for Domestic and Sexual Abuse Services 2020-21	£38,746
Apna Ghar	Ministry of Justice Covid-19 Extraordinary Fund for Domestic	£27,341

For each of the years above, (2016-21) what was the PCCs total commissioned / funded spend on VAWG services?

Using the definitions above, the following table provides the total spend on commissioning domestic and sexual abuse victim services for women and girls from 2016-2021:

	and Sexual Abuse Services 2020-21	
Being Women	Ministry of Justice Covid-19 Extraordinary Fund for Domestic and Sexual Abuse Services 2020-21	£12,492
Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services		
North East Law Centre	Supporting Victims Fund 2020-21 (Ministry of Justice Victim Services Grant)	£46,050
North East Law Centre	Ministry of Justice Covid-19 Extraordinary Fund for Domestic and Sexual Abuse Services 2020-21	£29,649
	TOTAL	£236,278

Financial Yr	Total – VAWG Victim Services	Total – All DA & SV Victim Services for Women and Girls (VAWG Victim Services and Non Gender Specific DA & SV Victim Services)
2016-17	£269,032	£846,297
2017-18	£361,924	£1,095,443
2018-19	£379,255	£906,850
2019-20	£422,202	£1,327,247
2020-21	£793,142	£1,935,765

Financial Yr	Total Spend on Commissioning Victim Services
2016-17	£1,751,452

	<p>For each of the years above, (2016-17) what was the PCCs total budget for commissioned / funded spend?</p> <p>The following table provides the total spend on commissioning services for victims of crime from 2016-2021.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>2017-18</td> <td>£2,123,007</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2018-19</td> <td>£2,197,463</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2019-20</td> <td>£2,242,014</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2020-21</td> <td>£2,802,490</td> </tr> </table>	2017-18	£2,123,007	2018-19	£2,197,463	2019-20	£2,242,014	2020-21	£2,802,490
2017-18	£2,123,007									
2018-19	£2,197,463									
2019-20	£2,242,014									
2020-21	£2,802,490									
021/21	<p>Whether there is a scrutiny panel in existence in your area, and whether this is active</p> <p>And if there is an active scrutiny panel in your area:</p> <p>Who attends the panel on a regular basis.</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>As the information you have requested at this point is accessible by other means I have not provided you with a copy of the information and will rely on Section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. You should therefore consider this a refusal for this part of your request.</p> <p>I have provided an explanation to this exemption below.</p> <p>Section 21 (1) - Information accessible by other means</p> <p>Information which is reasonably accessible to the applicant is exempt information.</p> <p>The information you have requested is freely available via the Northumbria Police website. In order to aid and assist you I have provided the relevant links below, this information represents the organisations who regularly attend the OOCB scrutiny panel:</p> <p>https://beta.northumbria.police.uk/about-us/publications-and-documents/minutes-of-meetings/out-of-court-disposal-scrutiny-panel/</p>								

	<p>What the outcomes of the panel are, and how they are shared with the police and public. If possible, it would be great to have the information for the period 2019 and 2020.</p>	<p>As the information you have requested at this point is accessible by other means I have not provided you with a copy of the information and will rely on Section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. You should therefore consider this a refusal for these parts of your request.</p> <p>I have provided an explanation to this exemption below.</p> <p>Section 21 (1) - Information accessible by other means</p> <p>Information which is reasonably accessible to the applicant is exempt information.</p> <p>The information you have requested is freely available via the Northumbria Police website. In order to aid and assist you I have provided the relevant links below, for the minutes and key outcomes of each meeting up to mid-July 2020:</p> <p>https://beta.northumbria.police.uk/about-us/publications-and-documents/minutes-of-meetings/out-of-court-disposal-scrutiny-panel/</p> <p>The latest information for meetings held in September 2020, January 2021 and March 2021 are yet to be published and will therefore not be disclosed at this current time and by withholding we will rely on the following exemption:- Section 22 Information Intended for Future Publication</p>
022/21	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The additional number of uniformed police constables employed to patrol streets for each above financial year. 2. The cost of employing one uniformed police Constable employed as above including "on costs" such as training etc. 	<p>Further clarification sought from sender in relation to 1,5, 6 and 7 (no response).</p>

	<p>3. The total annual cost of running the PCC's office including all salaries, pensions, hospitality, expenses, accommodation etc etc.</p> <p>4. The numbers of all paid staff in the PCC's office each year.</p> <p>5. The total cost of the internal "inter-officer" court case/s.</p> <p>6. The total cost of the failed child abuse case(?) prosecution.</p> <p>7. The number of crimes recorded for each of the above financial years excluding speed camera and similar motoring misdemeanours.</p>	
023/21	Sent as an FOI but was an insurance company request for information.	
024/21	<p>1. "Please ensue (and without ant further delay) that you (as PCC, your office) disclose full details of all amounts paid to and or requested by Staffordshire Police to date (as well as those amounts held by you - PCC / OPCC - at time of my 18.02.2021 request and which relate to above case / matter.</p> <p>a. How much of those costs relate to travel?</p> <p>b. How much of those costs relate to accommodation?</p> <p>2. Please ensue (and without any further delay) that you (as PCC, your office) disclose full details of all amounts paid to date (including, those paid up until date of my 18/02/2021 request) relating to external legal costs.</p>	<p>I can confirm that no information is held with regards to this part of your request.</p> <p>£16,607.00</p>

	<p>I can confirm that to date, costs paid by the OPCC for Northumbria relating to legal costs in relation to the matter you refer to are:</p> <p>3. How many of the subjects of the Staffordshire Police investigation - I am not requesting names, just the number/s of subjects - and in in the investigator's opinion has a case to answer for;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Misconduct? b. Gross misconduct? c. Criminal conduct? 	<p>I have assessed this part of your request and consider that the below exemption applies.</p> <p>Section 30(3) Investigations</p> <p>Section 30 is a class based qualified exemption and consideration must be given as to whether there is a public interest in neither confirming nor denying the information exists.</p> <p>I have set these out below.</p> <p>Public Interest Test Considerations</p> <p><i>Section 30 - Factors favouring disclosure</i></p> <p>Confirming that such information exists could promote public trust in providing transparency, demonstrating openness and accountability into response to incidents or crimes. It could also provide reassurance to the public that the police service as a whole takes all reports seriously and conducts any investigations appropriately. To confirm could also allow the public to have a better understanding of the effectiveness of the police service, and allow the public to make informed decisions about police procedures.</p> <p><i>Section 30 - Factors against disclosure</i></p> <p>The police service relies on information being supplied by the public. In this case the request relates to details about the completeness of a particular investigation, the review of that investigation and the conduct of individuals who may or may not have been involved. If we were to confirm or deny that individuals do or do not have any case to answer for as a result of this review,</p>
--	---	--

	<p>4. I would be prepared to narrow this part of my request down to information (as requested - and as held by 'you' as PCC at time of my 18/02/2021 request) i.e. information, documents and data which has been generated regarding the issue of the disclosure by you (as PCC) of the</p>	<p>then this would indicate that any of those individuals who may have been involved, may or may not be subject to further scrutiny regarding actions that they may or may not have taken. To do so, would be unfair to those individuals involved and would undoubtedly have a detrimental impact upon any subsequent investigation. It is not in the public interest to disclose any information prematurely that may hinder those investigations. Additionally to confirm if information is held could make people less inclined to contact the police for fear that such a fact may be disclosed. Further to the above, such disclosure could lead to specific individual being perceived in a negative or prejudicial way, resulting in unwanted interference or attention. This could also compromise policing actions and investigations and therefore jeopardise the safety of those individuals.</p> <p>Balance Test</p> <p>The points above highlight the merits of confirming or denying the requested data exists. Whilst there is a public interest in the transparency of policing and providing assurance that incidents and reports of crime, and any consequent investigations are conducted appropriately there is strong public interest in safeguarding the integrity of any police investigations and the confidentiality of those involved with and the reporting of such instances. The police service relies heavily on the public providing information. The public have an expectation that any information they provide will be treated with confidence. Anything that puts that confidence at risk would have a serious detrimental effect on the police service, and would not be considered for release just to satisfy a request made under the FOIA.</p> <p>Given the extent of the data requested in this part of your request, the following exemptions apply:</p> <p>Section 40(2) - Personal Information</p>
--	--	--

Staffordshire Police investigation report/s and findings to the public. the taxpayer. Please note that my request is also for emails, correspondence (copies of originals please) between you, Northumbria Police (NP) - including CC Winton Keenen, DCC, ACC's of NP - as well as Staffordshire Police (including but not limited to investigating officers, their team leaders), any others a where it related to above matter, Operation Eustace., the investigation and where it was the focus of the requested information. Please note this request is for the requested information as held by you (including within your email accounts) and systems up until the date of my18/02/2021 request. I would also expect full searches of email accounts, systems to be carried out. Please also include copies of all drafts, any deleted information, data and records and logs.”

The data you have requested contains extensive personal data that would identify a large number of individuals. I have considered appropriate redaction of the requested data but have concluded that to disclose redacted data could still reasonably be considered as being able to identify individuals. Accordingly the Section 40(2) exemption applies.

Section 40(2) is a class based absolute exemption and as such legislators have identified that there would be harm in disclosure and there is no requirement to evidence this or consider the public interest test.

Section 12 - Cost of Compliance Limits

This exemption allows a public authority to exempt the requested information if they reasonably believe that to comply would exceed the appropriate limit.

Following receipt of your request, searches were conducted within the scope of your request. I can confirm that the information you have requested is held by the OPCC for Northumbria, however cannot be disclosed for the following reasons.

To provide information which meets the criteria of your request would entail a member of staff manually searching information using a variety of key identifiable words from several email accounts. Even at a conservative estimate of 2 hours per email account, which we have considered as reasonable, we have estimated that to extract this information would take over 18 hours, therefore Section 12(1) of the Freedom of Information Act would apply. This section does not oblige a public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimated that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit of 18 hours, equating to £450.00.

You should consider this to be a refusal notice under Section 17 of the Act for your request.

		<p>When applying Section 12 exemption our duty to assist under Section 16 of the Act would normally entail that we contact you to determine whether it is possible to refine the scope of your request to bring it within the cost limits. However, as you are aware, this is indeed a refined request from yourself and from the information we have outlined above I see no reasonable way in which we can further refine the scope of this part of your request.</p> <p>You should consider this to be a refusal under section 17 of the Act for this of your request.</p>
025/21	<p>Why has the report into the investigation not been released?</p> <p>When will this information be released?</p> <p>How much has this cost, or even cost to date as the investigating force would have had to have been paid by now?</p>	<p>As advised in response to your earlier request (FOI 017/21 refers) - No information held. A date for publication of the report has not been agreed. However, the OPCC is seeking legal advice in order to publish, an update will be provided in due course on the OPCC website.</p> <p>Please refer to our response to point 1 above.</p> <p>In regards to the amounts paid to Staffordshire Police which relate to above case/matter - I can confirm that no information is held with regards to this part of your request.</p>
026/21	<p>Please can you provide me with information, regarding what contact and input which your office has had, regarding protests in the Northumbria policing area and by which organisations/persons and local authority officials, including those within any TUC union. This period search for information would be between 2010 to 2021.</p>	<p>The Information Commissioners Office (ICO) guidelines state that:</p> <p>A public authority must confirm or deny whether it holds the information requested unless the cost of this alone would exceed the appropriate limit.</p> <p>I can neither confirm nor deny that the information you require is held by the Police and Crime Commissioner for Northumbria as to actually determine if it is held would exceed the permitted 18 hours therefore Section 12(2) of the Freedom of Information Act would apply. This section does not oblige a</p>

		<p>public authority to comply with a request for information if the authority estimated that the cost of complying with the request would exceed the appropriate limit of 18 hours, equating to £450.00.</p> <p>You should consider this to be a refusal notice under Section 17 of the Act for your request.</p> <p>I have set out the reasons for this below.</p> <p>To establish what recorded information may be held regarding any discussions or recommendations that have taken place between the OPCC and Northumbria Police regarding protests in the regional cities over the period of 2010 to 2021 cannot be ascertained within the permitted 18 hour threshold. Such information, if held, could be anywhere in the OPCC and in any form, ie in email, letters, minutes of meetings, audio etc. You should note that OPCC did not commence until November 2012, so no information would be held prior to that, however this does not alter the fact that such searches cannot be achieved within the 18 hours, even for a considerably shorter time period.</p> <p>It should also be noted that the OPCC has no role in operational policing and such matters relating to protests fall to Northumbria Police to deal with.</p> <p>You may be interested to know that Northumbria Police & Crime Commissioner routinely publish information via the Disclosure Log. The aim of the Disclosure Log is to promote openness and transparency by voluntarily placing information into the public arena.</p> <p>The Disclosure Log contains copies of some of the information that has been disclosed by Northumbria Police & Crime Commissioner in response to requests made under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.</p>
027/21	This is a freedom of information request for me to be given the name / identification, description of the precise purpose and dates of the patches for the	No information held. The OPCC holds no information specific to your request. However, this information may be held Northumbria Police and we

	V9R3 Openscape contact management system (provided by ATOS / Unify) that the Northumbria Police uses that were implemented or installed on the Police's computer system between the June 2018 and February 2019.	have forwarded your request to their FOI team and they will contact you directly.
028/21	<p>1- Overall how many educational settings are in geographical area of Northumbria police?</p> <p>2- Over last year how many training workshops in regards to risks and danger of drug offered to educational settings located in geographical area of Northumbria police?</p> <p>3- How many posters published and distributed by Northumbria police in regards to risks of drug?</p>	No information held. The OPCC holds no information specific to any parts of your request. However, this information may be held Northumbria Police and we have forwarded your request to their FOI team and they will contact you directly.
029/21	Please could I request under the Freedom of Information Act all forms of qualitative and quantitative research you have undertaken (surveys, focus groups, depth interviews) that have focused on public or victim perceptions of policing generally or your force specifically, covering 2019 and 2020 calendar years.”	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The OPCC asked Digital Voice for Communities to undertake work in spring 2020 – it was exploring the attrition issue in Northumbria from a victim and witness perspective. • The Police Precept was undertaken – this takes place every year. • Police and Crime Plan refresh consultation.
030/21	Request dealt with as a Subject Access Request.	
031/21	<p>i). The full postal address of the PCCs office including postcode.</p> <p>ii). The land-line telephone number of the PCCs office.</p> <p>iii). The "family tree/establishment/hierarchy/pecking order" of the PCCs office staff with job titles.</p>	<p>On OPCC website.</p> <p>On OPCC website.</p> <p>On OPCC website. https://www.northumbria-pcc.gov.uk/transparency/opcc/opcc-staff-structure/</p>

	<p>iv) The names/addresses of any independent external organisation/public body/authority which oversees the activities of the PCC and to which the PCC is accountable.</p> <p>v). The names/addresses of any independent external organisation etc (as above) which will consider/examine concerns raised by members of the public.</p> <p>2) OFFICE OF THE IOPC</p> <p>As i) - v) above substituting IOPC for PCC - ONLY IF DIFFERENT FROM THE ABOVE.</p> <p>3) OFFICES OF IOPC and PCC</p> <p>Details of any shared facilities eg support staff etc. Service level agreement is here - https://northumbria-pcc.gov.uk/v2/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Service-Level-Agreement.pdf</p>	<p>The Police and Crime Panel deal with complaints about the PCC, here in Northumbria this is delegated by the panel to the Chief of Staff at the OPCC as the Monitoring Officer. Further clarification sought.</p> <p>On OPCC website - https://www.northumbria-pcc.gov.uk/complaints-and-compliments/</p> <p>No information held. Will need to contact IOPC.</p> <p>Service level agreement is here - https://northumbria-pcc.gov.uk/v2/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/Service-Level-Agreement.pdf</p>
32/21	<p>I would like to have all office diary appointments where you have or intend to have meetings with the public or any other body between the dates May 1st 2021 to June 30th 2021. To help, I do not need names or personal information that are entered against the dates of appointment. The dates will do.</p>	<p>Firstly we must advise that under the act we are not obliged to provide applicants with any information that they have requested to a date in the future, only to the date of their request. Therefore, our response to this part of your request covers the period from 1 May 2021 to 3 June 2021 only, which is the date of your request email.</p> <p>It is also important to note that during the above mentioned period due to the pandemic there was limited opportunity to meet people face to face, and that the PCC also had a period of annual leave. However, we can advise that</p>

	<p>Also, I'd like you to supply the yearly salary of all OPCC staff in the positions held. Any ID, shoulder numbers or identification that distinguishes them from everyone else.</p>	<p>Commissioner had meetings with the public / other bodies as below and that multiple meetings were held on various dates:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">3 May4 May5 May6 May7 May11 May12 May13 May17 May18 May19 May1 June2 June3 June <p>As you may be aware there is a requirement that the OPCC must publish information on all salaries over £58,200. Therefore, as some of the information you have requested at this points is accessible by other means I have not provided you with a copy of the information and will rely on Section 21 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. You should therefore consider this a refusal for those parts your request.</p> <p>I have provided an explanation to this exemption below.</p> <p>Section 21 (1) - Information accessible by other means</p> <p>Information which is reasonably accessible to the applicant is exempt information.</p>
--	---	--

		<p>This information has been asked for previously, along with the OPCC staffing structure, released and is published on the OPCC website. In order to aid and assist you further we have provided the relevant link below:</p> <p>https://northumbria-pcc.gov.uk/transparency/opcc/</p> <p>As there is no requirement to publish salaries under £58,200, we will not be providing details of these, nor will be providing ID, shoulder numbers or identification that distinguishes them from everyone else and will rely on the following exemption:</p> <p>Section 40 (2) - Personal Information</p> <p>Section 40 (2) is a class based absolute exemption and there is no requirement to consider the public interest in disclosure. That being said where Section 40(2) is engaged in order to make the exemption absolute there needs to be evidence that a data protection principle would be breached by disclosure. In this case it would not be fair to process information which, we believe by providing all the information you have requested, could lead to the identification of an individual. Therefore the first principle of the Data Protection Act would be breached.</p> <p>A disclosure in this instance, because it is so specific, would infringe the first Data Protection Principle, in that it would be both unlawful and unfair.</p> <p>You should consider this to be a refusal for these parts of your request under section 17 of the Act.</p>
33/21	Transferred to Northumbria Police.	
34/21	Withdrawn.	